G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

File No. 81

1913.

Serial Nos.

(MR2) 1-16

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos.

Part B for Jan 1913

SUBJECT.

Claim of Sasaliah to a lease in perpetuity of certain inam lands in the Trinkur Taluk endowed to the Sri Sivaganga Mutt

MR Suit No 2089 dt 30.1.13

Rejecting the ——

Chms

W D 1250—GPB—30,000 28-1-13

11] 1913.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Miscl.)

NOTES.

File No. 51-13

FILE HEADING.

Appeal of Sasaliah of Koliballi, Tumkur Taluk, against the order of the Mirzai Superintendent re lands of the Sivaganga U.F. leased to him.

From Sasaliah of Koliballi, Tumkur Taluk

No. Petition Dated 28th May 1913 Received 29-5-13

Diary No. 2103	Enclos. 3	Spare Copies. -	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Appeals against the above order for the leasing out of the lands in question, by auction for one year and prays for the cancellation of the sale in pursuance of the above order.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Draft forwarding the petition to the Mirzai Supdt. for report with records, subd. for approval.

22.
18.8.13

Approved
by
15.8.13

NOTES—First Sheet.

GPB – 15,000 15.6.12

(2)

]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 87 of 1913

Serial No.	
2	To the Amzai Superintendent in Mysore. No 691 Dt 25.8.13 Forwards the above for report with records. No 692 Dt 28.8.13 Intimates the above to the Petitioner.
3.	From, the Amzai Supt. Letter no. 709 Dt 6-12-1913 Reports that as there is ~~ample~~ evidence to show that the Takeed of 1892 under which the appellant claims the permanent lease of Sivaganga Matt lands is not above suspicion, the apltts. prayer for the grant of the above lease was rejected by him. The Amzai Supts. letter explains the facts of the case. Submtd. for orders
	NW (115) 24.1.1914 The only documentary evidence on which the appellant bases his claim for the lease of the lands in question is the Hukkum Dt of 1892 said to have been issued to him by the then Matt Agent Shama Sastri. This is neither registered nor stamped and the present Swamigalavaru too has not recognized it. So the

B

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-6-22

and Revenue Secretariat. | Mmg File No. 81 of 1913.

Serial No.

genuineness of it is doubtful. In these circumstances there seems to be no reason to interfere with the Mugrai Supt's order. The appeal may be rejected.

25/1/14

Subd. 6/2 Councillor

The appeal is against the order of the Mugrai Supt: and concerns the lease of certain lands in the Kolikeli village belonging to the Sivagange Mutt. The Mug. Supt: declined to recognise the claim set up by the appellant that the lands have been leased to him permanently about 20 years previously by the Swami and the Swami himself has no knowledge of the transaction. A date may be given for hearing

26.1.14

Post (Date being settled later on when there are 3 or 4 more cases ready)

31/1/14

2/2

NOTE—Contn sheet.

GPB—60 000—7 11 13

and Revenue Secretariat. File No. 81 of 1913

Serial No.	
4	From, Sasaiah of Kolihalli, Tumkur Taluk Petition dy 25.6.1914 but received on 29.6.1914. States that the matt land in dispute is notified for sale before the end of June 1914, by the mudgai Supdt and prays for immediate orders for the stay of sale proceedings pending the disposal of his appeal and adds that he is willing to deposit such security amount as Govt may deem fit. Orders have been received to-day to post this and some other cases. Submitted for orders } The file of the mudgai Supdt's office is put up AVL 1/2 29.6.1914 In Hukum no 1966 dy 17.6.14, orders have been issued to the Amildar to dispose of the land in a way advantageous to the inst^n with effect from 1st July 1914. There does not seem to be any grounds for stay of Proceedings prayed for under the circumstances brought to light in the mudgai Supdt's letter (paras 4 & 5) under disposal. 29.6.14

NOTE—Contn sheet.

GPB—60,000—7 11 13

d Revenue
cretariat.

File No. 87 of 191 7

Serial No.

Subd 41 Rs memo

The land is proposed to be granted for cultivation only for one year and if Sasalia should succeed in his appeal there is nothing to prevent him from applying after timely lease. The application may be rejected, particularly as Sasalia does not appear to have a good case "prima facie"

All right —

29/7/14 Secy 31/7

A draft Govt is submitted. Sasalia's appeal will be posted with the next batch of cases, to the 8th Septr 1914.

—Submd

M 2115)
1.8.1914

Abd
2e
1/8/14

5 To, Sasalia,

Govt No 315 Dy 3.8.1914.

Rejects his application, there being no sufficient grounds to interfere with

NOTE—Contn sheet.

GPB—60 000—7 11 1.

2103 (6

and Revenue ecretariat. | File No. 81 of 1913.

Serial No.

the order appealed against.
To, the Insp. Sub-Div. in Mysore
Memo no. 3160/3 3.8.1914.
Forwards a copy of the Sub-Div
Enquiry with Dft. notices.

WM 10/8
7.8.1914.

Sd
8/8

Six cases have already been posted to the 8th
& notices to the 2 more have been issued
which if not received

Parties heard.
(The order is not pronounced Party
wants time.)
adjd to tomorrow

9/9/14

Mr. Murti Rao heard.
Says that he is willing to pay
full assessment and to take
it even as an agreement for
a long time (say 20 years).
As the lands are given
only for a year, the applicant may
be given a chance to bid for
& acquire the lands. Special
notice should be given to him.

NOTE—Contn sheet.

GPB—60,000—7 11 1

7

neral and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 81 of 1913

Serial No.

The Village accounts (Akar-band & Khata & Phaisal patrike & the Survey Records - if the village is settled — relating to these lands) should be obtained & put up.

10/9/14

Submitted with a Dft Hukum to the Munsiff Amildar of Trimkur

11.9.1914

11/9/14

The records directed by the Member, have not been actually received in the Branch. The matter is under Correspondence and the connected papers are herewith submitted for perusal.

From, Sasaliah of Kolikalli.

Petition dt 4-6-1913.

States that the Sivaganga Math lands in dispute question were leased out for the years 1913-14 and 1914-15 on an annual

NOTE—Contn sheet

GPB—5000-26-6-11

(8

eral and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 81 of 1913

Serial No.

Sutta of Rs 130/-, that he is prepared to pay the full assessment viz Rs 170 and odd on the land as the annual Sutta, and prays that as this is advantageous to Govt. the lands may be leased out to him at full assessment from 1914-15 pending disposal of his appeal by Govt.-

The files of papers relating to the lease of these lands which was obtained from the Muznai Supdt's. office, is herewith submitted.

Returned to Muznai Supdt's. office on 14-7-15)
AG.

It will be seen from this file that the Muz. Supdt. has sanctioned the Amildar's recommendation to lease out the lands for the year 1915-16, to Puna Bhima Sona Rao of Bangalore on an annual Sutta of ~~Rs~~ 131/-.

Submitted for orders.

MLH
16.6.1915

SD
17/6

Subject to Govt. members on the Amildar's recommendation the lease given hereof for one year is sanctioned

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

GPB—5 000 -26 6 11

(9

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Miry File No. 8/ of 1913.

Serial No.

on 10th May the rent offered was Rs 131 which was no higher than the previous one when the lease was put up to auction formerly. Sasakia's offer is no doubt advantageous to the Mahal and I would have accepted it if I had known of it earlier.

Bhimasena has been informed about the acceptance of his offer and he has also given a memorandum before auction-wise Auction-dar's report is received. Under these circumstances it does not appear to be correct to cancel Bhimasena Rao's tender for a better offer is received. The lease is after all for one year and if Sasakia is still willing his offer will be considered for the year following

Ka
17.6.13

The other lease may be accepted. P&L May is informed as stated above

8/7/13

GPB—25,000—24/14

Note—Contn. sheet.

Ka
9/7

9/7

(10

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Muz File No. 84 of 1913.

Serial No.	
	Submitted with a dft Govt MC 1151 10-7-1915 BG 10/7/15
7	No 1298 dt 15 7/15 - to Pleader Sasiah, regd his darkhast for the lease of the lands in question.
8.	From, Sasaiah of Kolhalli, Petition dt 23rd July 1915 Prays that pending disposal of his appeal, the lease of the Matha lands in the Tumkur Taluk may not be disposed of by open Competition and the lands leased out to him on fair assessment. The lands have been leased out by the Muz. Supdt, to Puna Bheema sena dos for the year 1915-16 on an annual Sutta of Rs 131/-. The present darkhast may be taken up for consideration on the expiry of the present lease. Submitted. MC 951 13-12-1915 Apparently the peti and this office endorsement - Revd

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—25 000—2 11 14

2479 (11

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mary File No. 81 of 1913

Serial No.	
	have arrived. Nothing further need be done. Lee 15.1.16 May the papers be recorded? Sasaliah's appeal about which the 1st member called for certain record, seems to be pending - Submitted. W(95) 14.1.1916. Early steps should be taken to have the appeal case
	Submitted with a Dft. to the Dy. Commr. as directed by the A.Secy. W(95) 1.7.1916 ann 3/7/16 3/7/16
9	No: 91/D 7.7.16, D Dy Comm[r] of Tumkur, calls for certain village accounts connected with the Kolihalli village, endowed to Sri Swayambunath.
10	From, the Dy Commr, Tumkur D[t] Letter No. 15 [illegible]

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—20,000—30-6-15

Q2

may File No. 57 of 1913

Serial No.

Reports that the accounts in question were sent by ordinary post and that a search was made in the Taluk office without any effect and adds that the Supvr of Rev. Survey has been requested to send the Settlement records to the Amildar for being copied and submitted to Govt.

The receipt of accounts from the Taluk Amildar may be awaited

Submitted

Yes. Be sending a reminder every month

6.9.16

Submitted with a DO reminder for appl

2/10/16

Rem no 1142 dy 9.11.16

Submitted with a DO reminder for appl

11/1/17

Rem no 1752 dy 17.1.17

Submitted with a DO. reminder for appl

11/6/17

Rem no 2652 dy

17.11.17

NOTE—Contn. sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Miscy File No. 81 of 1913.

Serial No.	
11	From, the Dy Commr, Tumkur Divn, Letter no. 15 Dy 1-7-1918 Reports that the Survey Supdt to whom a requisition was made, stated that the original Settlement records could not be sent to any place and requests that as it is not possible to send an official from his office to prepare copies, arrangements may be made to send for either the original or copies direct from this Office. Submits for orders with a DFT [illegible] The Survey Supdt 16 8/7/18 17.7.18 Approved 18. 17/7/18
12.	No 283 Dy 19.7.18. To Supdt of Revenue and Survey Settlements Calls for the village accts re Kotihalli village in Tumkur Tq
13	From, the Survey Supdt, Letter no. 26 Dy 27-7-1918 Reports that copies will be supplied if the nature of the inform required and the Survey nos of the lands are furnished. The appeal petition of Sasalu makes mention of 7 maji nos. of Kotihalli

NOTE Contn sheet

ral and Revenue Secretariat. | Mysz File No. 81 of 191 3

Serial No.

alleged.

which are refd to in the Sunnigé Chitk of the Late Mutt Head, while the Mysz. Supdt's letter makes mention of 15 S. nos. of Kelihalli in addition to one S. no. of Sompapura.

Submtd for orders.

M 7.8.18

Mention the S.no. given in the letter of the Mysz Supt & draft.

KS. 10/8/18

Submtd with a DFR.

M 10/8/18

The letter (2b/2) was fairly clear. It is not evident what exactly the Supt of Survey wants. A draft is put up for approval or orders.

KS. 10/8/18.

Seen.)

I am afraid the requisition is too hazy. The Ver. Records should throw light upon the question whether the village has been settled or not. The records required should be specified in ascertaining this fact.

KS. 12/8/18.

12.8.18

NOTE—Contn. sheet

S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Mug File No. 81 of 1913.

Serial No.	
	The Village has been settled. (Vide Amildar's rept no. 1654 Dt 31-5-1913 to the Mez. Supdt in Mysore) The records required have been specified in the draft already submitted. AD 16.8.18 Seey.) Rd. 17/8/18
	Apptd Rec 21-8-18
14.	No. 6338 Dt 26.8.18. To the Supdt of Rev Survey and Settlements. Calls for Copies of Certain Survey records pertaining to the Siva ganga Mall lands in the Sankari T.
15.	From, the Survey Supdt Letter no. 62 Dt 7-9-1918. Submits extracts of Akarabandi, Phani Sud and Phaisal Patrik of the S. nos in Kothalli and Sangapur Villages in question. There is nothing in the records now received to support the apltts. case. This case was heard by the late member of Council in Sept 1918. Submitted for orders. Vide p. 7. The late Hon'ble Member
	AD 23.9.18.

apt 6 of the Office note)

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD A 1789—GPB—60,000—20 8 18—S.

S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

MR File No. 81 of 1913.

547

Serial No.

wished to see some more words which have been called for & received. This may if approved, be posted for hearing with the Mr Ballets 4 cases.

24/4/18

24/4/18

The Case may be posted

25/4/18

Secy)

24/4/18

The lands form part of the land he a, the bund having breached. Appellant claims to have secured a permanent lease Commencing from 1912, the Agent of the Matt having given the intimation of the lease in 1892. A twenty years lease in favor of Khasim Sab, - the lease being granted by the Swami himself -- Expired in 1912. When the fresh taking out of the lands —— the Swami desired reservation of these as "Valaip" for the use of the Matt Chattram — was being Contemplated.

A) Petn of Appellant

B) Abstract

D) Lease

Y

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD A 1789—GPB—60 000—2[illegible]—S.

547

(17

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mwz File No. 81 of 1913.

Serial No.

(appellant) jumped his claim, which was rejected by the Mwz: Supt. as not well founded.- The appt. had appealed. Stating that he is prepared to pay the annual assessment of the lands.

Secy.) Read 25/11/10.

Please see para 4 of my letter dated 6th Dec: 1913 for the reasons for rejecting the appellants' claim

(Actg member) 4-11-14

Appt in person 4-12-1914.

Appellant heard represented also by Counsel (Mr. Hoover).

The appellant prays for the grant of a lease in perpetuity based upon the Minute dated 7th March 1892, and the Mwz Supdt held as neither bindings nor in the best interests of the Minute. According to the appellants own admission he has been in the enjoyment of the lands for the past three years and is actually in possession of them as the grant of a lease in perpetuity is not calculated the in the best interests of the

NOTE---Contn. sheet.

WD A 1789—GPB—60,000—20 5 13—S.

(18

G. R. S. III-2)

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Inwz File No. 81 of 1913

Serial No.

Mukt, I do it is open to the appellant to obtain the lease year after year. There is no need to reverse the order of the Mamlatdar Supdt. Wh. with Landlord therefore. The appeal is dismissed.

11.12.5

11.1

Submitted with a draft order.

AD 14.12.1918.

16/12/18.

80 16.12.18

Seen.

30/12/18



2103 19

87-13

Before the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja
of Mysore.

1) Rs Stamps affixed
2) Order appealed against produced.

The humble petition of
Sasaliah, son of Tamma
Nimmiah, Kolihalli,
Urdigarey Hobli, Tumkur
Taluq, most respectfully
Showeth :—

1. That in the year 1887
the petitioner obtained
from the then Swami
of Sivaganganatta a
permanent lease of some
of the Inam lands,
comprised in Seven Inaji
Nos 189, 190, 204, 207, 222,
223 & 129, and situate
in the Sarvamanya village
of Kolihalli, for an annual
Patta of Rs 35/- which
was subsequently raised
with the consent of the
petitioner to Rs 100/-.

2. That after the petitioner
after enjoyed the said

90

lands for about 7 years, ie about the year 1892 the present Swami, who had by that time become the head of the mutt, leased the said lands to one Mahamed Khasim Sabi for a term of 20 years, on the misrepresentation of the latter that the term of the petitioner's lease had expired.

3. That the petitioner having brought to the notice of the Swami that the representations said to have been made by Khasim Sabi were not true, the Swami was pleased to admit the mistake and verbally ordered that, the permanent lease above referred to in favour of the petitioner, was to stand good. Subject to the rights of Khasim Sabi to enjoy the lands for a period of 20 years, ie, till the end of Feb: 1913, when the lands should be handed over to the possession of the petitioner, who thenceforward was to pay

an Annual patta of Rs 104 odd. The petitioner conformed to these terms, and an order in writing confirming the said grant was also subsequently issued to him. The said order is herewith submitted for favour of perusal.

4 That the petitioner, who took no further steps relying on the order set forth in paragraph 3 above, applied to the Deputy Commissioner of Tumkur on 18.1.13 & on 21.1.13 to see that the lands were handed over to the petitioner by Khasim Sabi after the latter's term expired, and to restrain the said Khasim Sabi from in the meanwhile from committing waste.

5. That as per orders of the Deputy Commissioner on the said application, the petitioner applied to the Amildar Superintendent who ordered that the petitioner should prove his claim, and that pending enquiry the lands should be leased out for one year by public auction to the highest bidder, as the term of Khasim

27 E.

Such lease had
of the Munsif Superin
having been communicated
to the petitioner thereafter the
Amildar of Tumkur, the
petitioner applied on 19.5.13
for stay of sale proceedings
by the said Amildar, but
the petitioner's prayer was
not granted and the
the land was knocked
down in the name of one
Kempa Gowda on 19.5.13
for a sum of about 115 Rs.

6. That the construction put
upon the petitioner's lease
by the learned Munsif Superintendent
is not correct

7. That the learned Munsif
Superintendent is in error in
supposing that the petitioner's
lease required registration as
the said lease merely confirmed
an oral lease which had
already been granted. The
question of registration of the
petitioner's lease should not
have weighed with the
learned Munsif Superintendent
at all, as he should have
merely given effect to the just
contract of the Swami,
who was generally leasing
out inam lands to his
tenants on unstamped and
unregistered documents and
very often on oral contracts.

lease of Khatim Sabi, for instance, which was for 20 years was not a registered one.

8. That the learned Huzrai Superintendent is not right in having ordered sale of the lease of the lands before completing necessary enquiries about the petitioner's claim, which he was quite prepared to substantiate.

9. That the petitioner is prepared to the full assessment viz, Rs 170-0-0 of the lands, if the Government hold for any reason that the rent fixed by the Swamiji, viz, Rs 106/- is not binding on the Government.

For the above said reasons the petitioner prays that His Highness's benign Government be pleased to recognise and act upon this lease granted to the petitioner by the Swamiji and hand over possession of the lands to the petitioner,

(2) to cancel the sale of the lease of 19.5.13 in favour of the abovenamed Kempay Gowda. +

(3) pending disposal of this petition not to confirm the lease in favour of Kempay Gowda.

B. Venkatesa Iyer
Advocate
for petitioner.
28.5.13.

ಅಂಕಿತ

ಶ್ರೀ ಸದ್ಗುರುವೇ ನಮೋ ನಮೋ ಎಂಬ ಪದ್ಯವನ್ನು ಪಠಿಸಿದ ನಂತರ ಪ್ರಾರ್ಥನೆಯನ್ನು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕು. ಪ್ರಾರ್ಥನೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಾಧಕನು ತನ್ನ ಸಾಧನೆಯ ಪ್ರಗತಿಗಾಗಿ ಗುರುವಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಬೇಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದು ಹೀಗೆ —

ಹೇ ಪರಮಾತ್ಮನೇ ಸರ್ವಜ್ಞನಾದ ನೀನು ನನ್ನ ಹೃದಯದಲ್ಲಿ ನೆಲೆಸಿರುವೆ. ನಾನು ಮಾಡುವ ಪ್ರತಿಯೊಂದು ಕರ್ಮವನ್ನೂ ನೀನು ಗಮನಿಸುತ್ತಿರುವೆ. ನಾನು ಮಾಡಿದ ಪಾಪಕರ್ಮಗಳಿಗೆ ನೀನು ನನ್ನನ್ನು ಕ್ಷಮಿಸಿ ನನ್ನ ಮೇಲೆ ಕರುಣೆ ತೋರಿಸಿ ನಿನ್ನ ಪಾದಸೇವೆಯನ್ನು ಮಾಡಲು ಅವಕಾಶ ಕೊಡು. ನಾನು ಮಾಡಿದ ಪಾಪಕರ್ಮಗಳಿಗೆ ಪ್ರಾಯಶ್ಚಿತ್ತವಾಗ

26

6/12/13

9



28

Before the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja
ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ.

19 12 —19 13 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ Petition ನಂಬರು.

ವಾದಿ. ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ.

Petitioner.
Subbiah.

ಮೆ॥ ರಾ॥ B. Muniswamy ಅವರಿಗೆ.
Advocate

Petition ಬರಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟ ವಕಾಲತ್‌ನಾಮ.

ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ನಂಬರ್ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮ್ಮಿಲ್ಲಿ ತಾವು ನನ್ನ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗಿ ವಿವಾದಿಸಿ ಫೈಸಲೆಹೊಂದತೆಕ್ಕ ಬಗ್ಯೂ, ಈ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ವಿಶಿಷ್ಟ ನಡವಳಿಕೆಗಳ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲೂ, ಆರ್ಜಿ ವಗೈರೆ ಕೊಡುವೆದಕ್ಕೂ, ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಿಂದ ನನಿಗೆ ಸಲ್ಲತಕ್ಕ ಡಿಕ್ರೀ ಮೊಬಲಗು ಶಿಲ್ಕು ಮೊಬಲಗು ಸಹೆ ಪಡೆದುಕ್ಕೊಂಡು ರಶೀದಿ ಕೊಡುವೆದಕ್ಕೂ, ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಿಂದ ನನಿಗಾಗಿ ವಾಪಸು ಆಗುವ ದಾವಾ ಸೌಂಪ್ತು ಪ್ರೋಸೆಸ್‌ಸೌಂಪ್ತು ಸಹ ಪಡೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವದಕ್ಕೂ, ಮತ್ತು ನನ್ನ ಬಾಬತು ವಿಶಿಷ್ಟ ದಸ್ತೈ ವಜಾ ವೆಗೈರೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಿಂದ ವಾಪಸು ತೆಗದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವದಕ್ಕೂ, ಸಹ ಈ ಮೂಲಕ ತಮಿಗೆ ಅಧಿಕಾರ ಕೊಟ್ಟು ಇರುತ್ತೇನೆ, ಈವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ತಾವು ನಡಿಸುವ ಕೆಲಸಗಳೆನ್ನೆಲ್ಲಾ ನಾನು ಖುದ್ದು ಇದ್ದು ನಡಿಸಿ ಇರುವಂತೆ ಒಪ್ಪಲೂ ಇದರಿಂದ ಬದ್ಧನಾಗಿ ಇಧ್ದೇನೆ.

ತಾರೀಖು 25-5-13.

ರುಜು ಸೋಸಲೈಯ ನರಸಿಂಹ

ಈ ಮೊಕ್ತಾರ್‌ನಾಮ ಕೊಟ್ಟವರು ನನಿಗೆ ಪರಿಚಯಸ್ತರಾಗಿ ನನ್ನ ಸಮಕ್ಷಮ ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ರುಜು ಮಾಡಿರುವದರಿಂದ ನಾನು ಸರ್ಟಿಫೈ ಮಾಡಿ ಇಧ್ದೇನೆ.

ಈ ಮೊಕ್ತಾರ್‌ನಾಮ ಅಂಗೀಕರಿಸಿ ಇಧ್ದೇನೆ.
B. Muniswamy

☞ ಈ ಫಾರಂ ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಶಿಟಿ ಚಿಕ್ಕಪೇಟೆ ಪ್ಯಾಂಪ್ ಪೆಂಡರ್ ಯಂ. ನಂಜುಂಡಪ್ಪನಲ್ಲಿ ದೊರೆಯುತ್ತೆ

29

TWO RUPEES

In the ~~Chief~~ Court of Revenue and General Secretary to the Govt of Mysore

Bangalore.

Civil *Case No.* *of 191*

Appellant
Sesaliah

Vs.

I Sesaliah of Kolihally Tumkur Distt the above named Appellant by these presents retain G. W. HOOVER, Esq., B.A., Advocate, for me and in my name to conduct the aforesaid case.

I further empower my said Advocate to execute any decree that may be passed in my favour, and to recover and receive all and every sums of money or other property and to grant sufficient receipts for the same.

And I undertake to ratify and confirm all legal acts done or caused to be done by my said Advocate in the case by virtue of these presents, in witness whereof I have hereunto set my hand this day of ಸೆಪ್ಟೆಂಬರ್ 191

I know the party and Sesaliah signed before me.
G W Hoover

Accepted
G W Hoover

Advocate

For

30

No 691 Ent. Dt 25/8/1913
Mur 81-13-2

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA
OF MYSORE.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No.....

The accompanying Petition Registered
No. 2108 dated 28:8:1913
received at the General and Revenue
Secretariat from Sasaliah of
Kolihalli Tumkur Taluk,
appealing against the
Murzorai Superintendent
Order authorising the
leasing out of a certain land,
permanently leased to him
by the Swaganga Matt
in 1887, ~~for a period of one~~
~~Year~~, and praying that
the sale of the lease to
one Keempe Gowda, in
pursuance of above order be cancelled
is forwarded, together with its enclosures,
to the Murzorai Superin-
tendent in Mysore
.....for report
with records.

for a period of one year.

and his right for the permanent lease recognised

By Order
Sd 19/8/13
For Secretary

BANGALORE,
....191

Sd 19.8.13

P. T. O.

GPB -1,000 I. 2-1

32

No. 709 OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI SUPERINTENDENT IN MYSORE,

Encls. one file *Dated Bangalore, 6th December 191 3.*

FROM G. Aravamudu Iyengar, Esquire, B.A., B.L.,

THE MUZRAI SUPERINTENDENT

IN MYSORE.

No. 1077
1913-14
Muz. 7.
No. 81-13
3

To

K.R. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esquire, M.A.,

Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, General and Revenue Departments.

SIR,

With reference to your official Memorandum No.691/M.81-13-2 dated the 25th August 1913, forwarding for report the appeal petition of one Sasaliah of Kolihalli in the Tumkur Taluk, appealing against my order regarding the lease of certain lands at Kolihalli, I have the honor to state as follws:-

Kolihalli.
Survey Nos.76,77,79,81,84,85,86,87,88 93,94,95,96 154 and 155.
Sangapur.
Survey No. 46.

The marginally noted lands belonging to the Sri Sivaganga Matt in the Tumkur Taluk, had been leased out to one Khasim Saib for an annual gutta of Rs.100/- for 21 years from the year Nandana. This lease terminated on the 30th June 1912.

The Swamigalavaru of the Matt in his Srimukha No. 337 dated 28th May 1912, to the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, requested him to reserve these lands as Batayi after the termination of Khasim Saib's lease as they were stated to be required for building a chattram thereon. The Amildar who was instructed by the Deputy Commissioner to seek the orders of this Office reported that one Sasaliah claimed to be the permanent lessee of the lands in question under a Takid dated 13th June 1892 alleged to have been given to him from the Matt under the signature of Shama Sastry, late Agent of the Matt and that he offered to pay an annual gutta of Rs. ~~100-0-0~~ 104-8-10 from 24th February 1913, the date of the termination of the lease of Khasim Saib.

As.

33

4) As I considered that the alleged Takid as it purported to dispose of the lands 20 years before t tion of the lease then current, and as the document was neither stamped nor registered I declined to accept the genuineness of the document pending strict proof of the same and directed the Amildar to take possession and arrange for their cultivation on the best terms which could be secured.

From the communication of the Swamigalu to the Deputy Commissioner of Tumkur dated 28th May 1912, it is clear that the Swamigalu was not aware of any lease in favor of Sasaliah.

The lease of these lands has been granted to one Kempe Gowda for one year on a gutta of Rs.130/- per annum and there is no doubt that the Matt will at least get in course of time the kandayam on the lands viz., Rs.170-4-0.

The records received from the Amildar and the appeal petition received with your Official ~~petition~~ Memorandum under reply, are herewith submitted.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Muzrai Superintendent.

G.S.K.

From

THE MUZRAI SUPERINTENDE
IN MYSORE.

To

Dated

Despatched

No. _____
Enclosures

Subject.

GPB—1,000—7-10-12

R ES "

Before the Government of His Highness VII
The Maharaja of Mysore.

The humble petition of Sasaliah
of Kolihalli, Udisaray Hobli,
Tumkur Taluq, most respectfully
showeth:

Bdl Dsw
DIARY NO. 2479 2916
1913-14
SECTION Mungri
FILE NO 81-13
SERIAL NO 4

1. That in 1887 the petitioner obtained from the then Sarkar of Sivaganga until a permanent lease of some of the waste-lands — viz. Inaji No. 7 — situate in Sarvamangya village of Kolihalli

2. That on the Inamdar officers refusing to recognise the petitioner's right to the said land, and on their attempting to dispose of it as they liked, the petitioner submitted a memorial to the Government on or about 28.5.13, appealing against the orders of the Inamdar Superintendent, & the said memorial is pending disposal.

3. That the petitioner learns that the Taluq authorities, under orders of the Inamdar Superintendent, have been taking proceedings to sell the land in dispute by public auction, and that the sale has been notified to take place before the end of June 1914.

4. That the petitioner submits that he will sustain a very heavy

loss if the
not fixed.

5. That wherefore the petitioner prays that orders be issued immediately for stay of sale proceedings till the disposal of the petitioner's memorial named above. The petitioner submits he is ready and willing to furnish such security as the Government may deem fit to require in the circumstances of the case.

25. 6. 1914.

ధర్మ ప్ర పట్టు వాళ్ళు కొత్త

మీ కు ఇ ఇ వివరణలు ఇ

ఇవరల్ వ శాఖలు కొత్త లు అందుకొ

No. 315 Dt 3.8.14

Ammi 81-13-5

ఉంటే తి అందుకొ

కొంత కు కొత్త మీ ళ్ళ

కొత్త మీ వ ళ్ళ కు వ కొ కొ ళ్ళ

కొవనం లు శ్రీ మ ర్త్ కొత్తిర

మీ ళ్ళ ర్ళ కొ మీ వ ళ్ళ కొ

గ ంచు న్న ర కం లు మా ర కొ కొ

మ ు న లు కొ వ ళ్ళ లో ర్ణ ం వ ర

ర ద్ద ం మా తి కు వ దా ని ళ్ళ,

న ద్ది లి చే ర ర ళ్ళ కొ ళ్ళ ు కొ లు ళ్ళ

తి కొ ంత ల ళ్ళ లు న ర్కా ర దు ద

ల ళ్ళ కొ ని ళ్ళ ర్ళ న వా కు వ

ప తి యం ళ, ళ లి ణ్ ను న్ ర్ ర్ ర్ ా

ు మా త ర ం త ర ం చే దా ళ్ళ కొ

ధ ర్ ా త ళ్ళ ళ్ళ ద్ద ం మా త కొ ళ్ళ

ని వ న్ళ ళ్ళ వ కా లి ర్ళ తి

కొ ంత ళ్ళ ళ్ళ ళ్ళ ళ్ళ ళ్ళ

న్ళ కొ వా లి కొ

కొ వ ళ్ళ కొ ళ్ళ ర్ ళ్ళ ం వ ళ్ళ ద్ద ం

మా త లు కొ ా ర ళ్ళ ర్ణ

న ళ్ళ ం లు వ ళ్ళ ది ళ్ళ లి

కొ ళ్ళ ా కొ ళ్ళ ళ్ళ ళ్ళ ళ్ళ

ళ్ళ ళ్ళ ళ్ళ ళ్ళ ళ్ళ ళ్ళ

ళ్ళ ళ్ళ ళ్ళ ళ్ళ ళ్ళ ళ్ళ

B.O

ళ్ళ ళ్ళ ళ్ళ ళ్ళ ళ్ళ ళ్ళ

Ref. 37 Copy to MO

Kindly in regard for information.

B.O.
Commanding

Adj

For Hq. Secretary

8/1/41

TWO RUPEES

.R. S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

No. 129 dt. 15.7.1915
Mur 81-13-7

'ಅ' Sadalaha's Akkharst for the lease of Sivaganga Math lands in Kothalli village, Tumkur Taluk

4/2



B-o.

13/7/15

1st member's [illegible] Jr. Secretary. No 730 dt 15.7.915

10/7/15

Mug-Inspector in Mysore

for inform

B-o.

13/7/15

Jr. Secretary

10/7/15

DIARY No.
TWO RUPEES

43

I

II

4(1)

[illegible]

111

[illegible]

46

V

for certain village accounts
Connected with the Kolihalli village
in the Tumkur Taluk
endowed to Sri Sivaganga
matha

File No. 81-13
Serial No. 9 47

Diary No. of letter replied to 9477

No. 91 Emp file

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
, the 191 .

To

The Dy Commissioner,
Tumkur Dist

Date of issue 7-7-1916

Signed by M G Srinivasa Iyer

Sir,

I am directed to state that the petition of one Sadashiva of Kolihalli in the Tumkur Taluk appealing against the Amildars Supts. order regarding the lease of certain lands at Kolihalli endowed to Sri Sivaganga matha was partly heard by Govt on 10th Septr 1914. As a perusal of the [illegible]

over.

SUBJECT

48

accounts (a Karbaud & Khata and Phaisal Patrike and the Survey Records - if the village is settled) relating to these lands was found necessary for a final disposal of the appeal, with a view to avoid delay, the Amildar of the Junkur Taluka was directly addressed in this office Hukum no 626 Dy 15th September 1914, to send up these records, and the Amildar reported in his Stilla no 389 Dy 26th Sept. 1914 that as per phrist enclosed, he had submitted the accounts. Only the Stilla and the phrist were received in this office but not the record refd to therein; ~~were not~~; and the matter has been under correspondence since then. It is not known if the record were sent in a registered packet and if so,

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—25,000—8 8 11

(G.R. S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

who has acknowledged it.

2 I am to request that you will be so good as to enquire into the matter and send up either the original records or their copies at an early date, to enable Govt to pass final orders on the appeal which is pending from a long time.

3) The vernacular correspondence ending with Inamkar Amildar's report No. 1133 of 7.4.15 on the subject between this office and the Inamkar Amildar is herein enclosed for ref. and re- [illegible]

I have &c.

Sd/- 3/7/16

amn 3/7/16

Sd/- for Asst. Secretary to Govt

GPB—20,000—16-2 14

GPB—50,000—17-9-15

19 17-17.

REV. & REV. SECT.
5 AUG

From

The Deputy Commissioner,

Tumkur District.

To

The Secretary to the Government,

[illegible] Department, Bangalore.

Dated 1st August 1917.

Despatched

Received 15/8/17

General No. Genl. C

No.

Enclosure No. 1 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

---

19 0 (

SECTION

FILE No. 81-13

SERIAL No. 19

50 DIARY No. 9 2/16 Office of the

Tumkur District,

Dated Tumkur, 1st August 1916

From

K. Chandy, Esqr., I.A.,

Deputy Commissioner,

Tumkur District.

To

. . . Ingrao, Esqr., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to Government of His Highness the Maharaja

of Mysore, General Department, Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 91-62 21-16 dated the 7th instant, ~~requesting~~ requiring certain particulars with regard to the -- property of certain Government of Inamdars of Kolimalli Village, I have the honour to submit herewith enquiries made, as per margin that the copies of inquiries made by ordinary post as usual. It is also reported that a thorough search was made both in the Huzur Office and the Cutcherry branch, of the Taluk -- Office, but the account could not found. The sketch plans could hardly be prepared to copies traced in the absence of any information about the matter. The Superintendent of Revenue Survey has been requested to send the Settlement Records to the Amildar of Turkur, for being copied and forwarded to Government. The sketch plans prepared in this office are herewith submitted for -- favour of disposal.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

K. Chandy

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,

TUMKUR DISTRICT.

KAS

T.B.M.

1918-19
Mewr
87-13
11.

WD 0468—GPB—3,00,000—21-7-17—S.

191 18-19.

From

The Deputy Commissioner,

Tumkur District.

To

The Joint Secretary to Government Muzrai Department,
Bangalore.

Dated 1st July 1918.

Despatched

Received

General No. 916 15 JC 16-17

No.

Enclosure No. --

Requesting to kindly arrange with the Survey Superintendent to send for either the original records or copies thereof ~~received~~ re: the settlement records of Inam Kolihalli village of the Tumkur Taluk

---

51

Tumkur Dis

Dated Tumkur, 1st July 1918

From

[illegible] Iyer, Esqr., B.A.,

Deputy Commissioner,

Tumkur District.

To

[illegible], Esqr., M.A., B.L.,

[illegible] to the Government of His Highness

the [illegible] of Mysore, Muzrai Department,

Bangalore.

[illegible] dated 9th November

[illegible] to the [illegible] re-

[illegible] to the [illegible] re-

[illegible] Sir, I have

[illegible] to [illegible] to send

[illegible] to the General

[illegible] Department to send

[illegible] to the

[illegible] to the [illegible] dated

[illegible] not be sent to [illegible] place

[illegible] an official

[illegible] to get

[illegible] Department, I request

[illegible] either the

[illegible] to the office of the Survey

[illegible].

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

[illegible]

Deputy Commissioner,

Tumkur District.

52

–G/32]

SUBJECT E Calls for the village accounts relating to Kolihalli village Tumkur Taluk, belonging to Sri Sivaganga Mutt.

Diary No. of letter replied to 41 ~~284~~

Section Rev

File No. 81

Serial No. 913

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

( )

, the 191 .

No. 283

Date of issue 19:7:1916

Signed by M.S. Mudaliar

To

The Supdt of Revenue Survey and Settlements in Mysore.

Sir,

I am directed to state that the petition of one Sasaliah of Kolihalli in the Tumkur Taluk appealing against the Asst. Supdts. order regarding the case of certain lands at Kolihalli endowed to Sri Sivaganga Mutt is pending orders of Govt.

over.

51 53

perusal of the Village accounts (akarabund & khata and Phaisal Patrike and the survey records - if the Village is settled - relating to these lands) is necessary, I am to request that you will be so good as to transmit with due care the original records or their copies at a very early date to enable Govt to pass final orders on the appeal now pending.

I have the

Injunct Secretary,

Mun. Dept

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

W D86--GPB--60,000--15 11 16

WD A0690—GPB—250—12-10.17

57

1918-1919

No. 24 Enc. 13

10155

OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDENT,
MYSORE REVENUE SURVEY,
Dated, Bangalore 27.7. *1918*

From C. Rajagopalachariar,
~~EXCADARASHAH~~, ESQ., B.A.,
Offg: *Superintendent of Revenue Survey and Settlements in Mysore.*

To A. Aravamudu Iyengar, Esquire, B.A., B.L.,
Joint *Secretary to the Government of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,*
Huzur *Revenue Department.*

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.2-5 Huzur dated 15th July 1918 calling for certain records in original or copies thereof regarding the lease of certain lands endowed to Sri Sivaganga Math at Nelmandi village Tumkur taluk, I have the honor to state that the particular information required is not clear from the letter. If the nature of the information required and the Survey numbers of the lands about which it is necessary are furnished, I shall issue necessary orders for the supply of copies desired.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Offg: Superintendent of Revenue Survey and Settlements.

55

SUBJECT Calls for copies of certain Survey records pertaining to the Sivaganga Mutt land in the Periyar Tract.

Diary No. of letter replied to 284

Section Rev
File No. 81-13
Serial No. 14

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT
( )
, the 191 .

No. 655

Date of issue 26.8.1918

Signed by Asst. Secy.

To

The Supdt. of Rev. Survey and Settlements in myfore,

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No. 24 dy 27th July 1918, I am directed to request that you will be so good as to ~~the original Patakas and~~ furnish this office with ~~Copies~~ Extracts of Akarabund, Pani Sud ~~Khata~~, Pakka Book, and Phaisal Patrika ~~and the Survey Jeppai Book, Prati Book~~ records if the Village is ~~Settled~~, pertaining to

56

The marginally-noted lands in the Tirthahalli Taluk belonging to the Sri Sivapangala Math. ~~are~~ ~~hereby~~ ~~forfeited~~

Kotihalli
Survey no. 76, 77, 79, 8, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 9 94, 95, 96, 154 and 1

I have the honour to be, Sir, Your most obedient servant,

Sangapur
Survey no. 46.

To
The Joint Secretary,
Revenue Department.

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

W P&S—GPB—60,000—15 11 16

56

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT
I
12 SEP 1918

WD A0689—GPB—750—12-10-17

14777

No. 62.
Enc. 6

OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDENT
MYSORE REVENUE SURVEY,
Dated, Bangalore 7th Sept 1918

From

D. K. DARASHAH, ESQ., B. A.,
Superintendent of Revenue
Survey & Settlements in Mysore.

To

T. Aravamudachariar Esq. B.A. L.T.,
Joint Secretary to the Government of H.H.
the Maharaja of Mysore Revenue Department.

SIR,

As desired in your letter No. [illegible], dated [illegible], I have the honour to forward herewith the tracings of Avalahalli Kodagihalli and Kaisal Patrikeri Survey Numbers of Kaikeri and Sangapur villages in the Hassan Taluk.

I have the honour to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant
D K Darashah

P. I.

57